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Abstract — Introduction. This protocol aims at evaluating (a) the efficacy of new fungicides for the control of post-harvest diseases, (b) the efficacy of various application methods for the chemical control of post-harvest diseases, and (c) the quality of the fungicide solution during the same packing day where this solution is recycled. The principle, key advantages, starting plant material, time required and expected results are presented. Materials and methods. Materials required and details of the eighteen steps of the protocol (fruit sampling and inoculum preparation, wound anthracnose study, quiescent anthracnose study, and crown-rot study) are described. Results. Comparison between untreated control bananas and bananas treated with fungicide allows the calculation of the fungicide treatment efficacy.
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1. Introduction

Application

This protocol aims at evaluating:

– the efficacy of new fungicides for the control of post-harvest diseases,
– the efficacy of various application methods for the chemical control of post-harvest diseases,
– the quality of the fungicide solution during the same packing day where this solution is recycled (the fungicide solution might be diluted or altered by the latex).
Principle

Banana fruits are infected through artificial inoculation of the pathogens involved in post-harvest diseases. The fungicide treatment is realised after the inoculation. Fungicide efficacy is measured as the percentage of reduction of the disease in comparison with an untreated control [1].

Key advantages

– The method is precise and reliable.
– It simulates the conditions of industrial exportation of bananas and it is particularly adapted to this situation. However, the described method is more time-consuming than the observation of natural infections.

Starting material

The method requires mature, freshly harvested bananas and fungal cultures of Colletotrichum musae.

Time estimation

For wound anthracnose, the time required for two treatments (control and treated fruits) is 30 min for fruit sampling; 45 min for fruit inoculation; 30 min for fruit wounding; 20 min for disease assessment.

For quiescent anthracnose, the time required for two treatments (control and treated fruits) is 30 min for fruit sampling; 45 min for fruit inoculation; 20 min for disease assessment.

For crown rot, the time required for two treatments (control and treated fruits) is 30 min for fruit sampling; 45 min for fruit inoculation; 30 min for disease assessment.

Expected results

The method makes it possible to determine the percentage of lesion reduction between treated and control bananas after the fungicide treatment. Control banana corresponds to inoculated bananas which were not treated with the fungicide.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Laboratory materials

The protocol requires:

– agar plates with Mathur's medium (MgSO₄ 7H₂O 2.5 g; peptone 1 g; yeast extract 1 g; saccharine 10 g; agar 15 g; water 1 L), or PDA medium,
– a Malassez counting cell,
– sterile distilled water,
– a microscope,
– 50% alcohol,
– a computerised penetrometer with a rounded probe (1-cm diameter),
– a controlled environment cabinet regulated at 13 °C,
– a controlled environment cabinet regulated at 20 °C,
– a controlled environment cabinet regulated at 25 °C,
– a ripening room regulated at 20 °C or an airtight ripening tank stored in a controlled temperature chamber regulated at 20 °C,
– ethylene, e.g., a bottle of azethyl (95% nitrogen + 5% ethylene).

2.2. Protocols

Fruit sampling and inoculum preparation

– Step 1. Fruit sampling
For each treatment, sample in the packing station 20 banana hands (in position 3 from the top of the bunch) from 20 bunches. If the amount of fruit collected is not sufficient for the experiment, hand 2 of each bunch will be collected as well. Each hand (or group of two hands) coming from one bunch will be considered as a block for statistical analysis by ANOVA.
For each treatment, a cluster of four bananas will be collected on each bunch, so the same treatment is carried over a group of 20 clusters.

Note: all experiments include at least three treatments: an untreated control (inoculated bananas untreated with the fungicide), a reference fungicide treatment (thiabendazole 4–5 g L⁻¹; example of fungicide Mertect 20 S at 220 g L⁻¹ a.i.), and the test treatment.
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(inoculated bananas treated with the fungicide).

• Step 2. Inoculum preparation
  – Inoculate Mathur’s medium plates with a small plug from a fungal colony of *Colletotrichum musae*. Note: fungal cultures should be monosporic and not sub-cultured more than five times (initiate new cultures from frozen conidial suspensions at – 80 °C in glycerine 30%). The *C. musae* strain used should be susceptible to the fungicides used for the experiment.
  – Store fungal cultures at 25 °C for 10 d.
  – Flow the fungal cultures with distilled sterile water.
  – Filtrate the conidial suspension through a 35-µm sieve.
  – Calibrate the conidial suspension to (10^6 and 10^4) conidia·mL\(^{-1}\) with the Malassez counting cell.

**Wound anthracnose study**

• Step 3
  Inoculate two fruits both on the external and on the internal row of the cluster, on the side face (use two bananas located at the same edge of the cluster). Deposit a 25-µL droplet of the *Colletotrichum musae* conidial suspension calibrated to 10^6 conidia·mL\(^{-1}\) (locate inoculation area) in the centre of the side face.

• Step 4
  Once the droplet is evaporated, cover the inoculated area with a humidified swab and wrap with plastic to maintain humidity. Store the fruits at 25–27 °C for 24 h.

• Step 5
  Bruise the fruit at the place where inoculum was deposited. Crushing is done with a computerised penetrometer equipped with a rounded probe. The speed of the probe is 5 mm·s\(^{-1}\) and a 5-mm compression is exerted on the fruit for 4 s.

• Step 6
  Carry out the fungicide treatment. Untreated control: fruits are dipped in or sprayed with water; reference fungicide treatment: fruits are dipped for 1 min in a thiabendazole solution (4.4 g·L\(^{-1}\)); test fungicide: fruits are dipped in or sprayed with the fungicide solution.

*Note:* the fungicide solutions should be prepared the day of treatment. Three parameters must be defined for the fungicide treatment: (a) the fungicide concentration, which should be determined accurately, (b) the duration of the treatment, (c) the type of application: dipping or spraying (outflow, type of nozzle).

• Step 7
  Pack the 20 clusters of the same treatment in a standard box, in a perforated polybag. Store fruits at 13 °C.

• Step 8
  Ten days after inoculation, start measuring the surface of the lesions \([\text{length} \times \text{width} \times (\pi/4)]\) and repeat the measurement every 2–3 d until fruits are ripe.

• Step 9
  Calculate the average of surface lesions for the untreated control (SLC) and for the fungicide-treated fruits (SLT). Compare these data through ANOVA, each bunch being considered as a block.

• Step 10
  Calculate the fungicide treatment efficacy (FE) as \(FE = [1 – (\text{SLC} / \text{SLT})] \times 100\).

**Quiescent anthracnose study**

• Step 11
  For quiescent anthracnose study, proceed exactly as described for wound anthracnose study, except for step 5 which is not necessary.

**Crown-rot study**

• Step 12
  For each cluster, remove a thin slice of crown on all sections and sterilise the crown in 50% ethanol.

• Step 13
  Once the crown surface is dry, deposit 50 µL of the conidial suspension, calibrated to 10^4 conidia·mL\(^{-1}\), on the upper face of the crown. Cover this droplet with a 5-mm paper disk and place the fruits at 25 °C for 3 h.
• Step 14
Carry out the fungicide treatment as previously described (steps 6 and 7).

• Step 15
Ten days after inoculation, make an external evaluation of crown rot (ECR) according to the following rot scale: 0: no crown-rot lesion; 1: less than 25% of the crown surface is rotten; 2: 25–50% of the crown surface is rotten; 3: 50–75% of the crown surface is rotten; 4: more than 75% of the crown surface is rotten.

Note: this evaluation corresponds to the evaluation that is made at the entrance of the ripening room where most controls of quality are realised.

• Step 16
Calculate the average of ECR for the untreated control (ECRcontrol), for the reference fungicide (ERCreference) and for the fungicide-treated fruits (ECRfungicide). Calculate the fungicide treatment efficacy (FE) as FE = [1 – (ECRcontrol/ ECRfungicide)] × 100.

• Step 17
Introduce fruits into a ripening room regulated at 20 °C or into an airtight ripening tank stored in a controlled temperature chamber regulated at 20 °C. Inject ethylene gas at a concentration of 1000 µL·L⁻¹.

• Step 18
24 h after the ethylene treatment, remove ethylene by aeration of the chamber and store the fruits at 20 °C for another 2 d.

After the 3 d of storage, make an internal evaluation of crown rot. Separate the cluster into two and measure the length (L) and width (W) of the internal rot. Internal rot surface (IRS) is then calculated as IRS = L × W. This evaluation corresponds to the evaluation that is made at the exit of the ripening room. Calculate the average of IRS for the untreated control (ICRcontrol), for the reference fungicide (IRSreference) and for the fungicide-treated fruits (ICRfungicide). Compare these data through ANOVA, each bunch being considered as a block. Calculate the fungicide treatment efficacy (FE) as FE = [1 – (ICRcontrol/ICRfungicide)] × 100.

2.3. Troubleshooting
If very few lesions are observed on the untreated control, two explanations can be advanced:
(a) Fungal cultures were sub-cultured too much and the C. musae strains lost their pathogenicity. Solution: initiate new fungal cultures from frozen conidial suspensions.
(b) Fruits were dried after the inoculation (especially for anthracnose). Solution: maintain high humidity after the inoculation (cover with a wet swab and wrap the fruit with plastic; maintain a high humidity in the room).

3. Typical results obtained
Comparison between untreated control bananas and bananas treated with fungicide (figure 1) allows the calculation of the fungicide treatment efficacy.
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