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Preliminary inventory of fruit fly species in mango orchards in the Niayes region, Senegal, in 2004.

Abstract — Introduction. Knowledge of tephritid diversity in Senegal was poor before 2004, so PIP-COLEACP and CIRAD, in collaboration with Cérès-DPV, carried out, in 2004, trapping for preliminary detection of fruit flies in six orchards in the Niayes zone. Materials and methods. We selected three mixed mango orchards and three homogeneous mango orchards in this zone. In each orchard, we used three attractants: Terpinyl acetate (Ter), Trimeurel (Tri) and Torula (Tor). Traps were serviced weekly. Results. We captured 77 642 fruit flies in more than 4 months including mango season; they were represented by 18 identified fly species, including ten Ceratitis, six Dacus and two Bactrocera species. Two very serious pests were identified with the confirmation of Bactrocera cucurbitae (Coquillett) for cucurbit crops and the detection of B. invadens Drew Turunra & White for fruit crops. The two most abundant species of Ceratitis were C. cosyra and C. siliestrri. Discussion. We captured more fruit fly species in mixed mango orchards than in homogeneous mango orchards. Bactrocera invadens was also more abundant in mixed orchards, probably because of its polyphagous status. This new invasive species, B. invadens, can have many hosts in mixed mango orchards, enhancing its breeding potential. Conclusion. Effective management to deal with this alien species requires: (i) improvement of basic and applied research; (ii) an effective IPM package; (iii) an area-wide management approach; (iv) a sub-regional effort on the part of researchers, extension services, growers, exporters, farming associations and the different actors in fruit value chains (mainly mango).
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Résumé — Introduction. Au Sénégal, la liste des espèces de mouches des fruits recensées était relativement mal connue avant 2004. C’est la raison pour laquelle le PIP-COLEACP et le CIRAD, avec la collaboration du Cérès-DPV, ont commencé, en 2004, à placer des pièges de détection des mouches des fruits dans six vergers de manguiers situés dans les Niayes. Matériel et méthodes. Nous avons sélectionné trois vergers mixtes (à dominance manguiers) et trois vergers de manguiers monospécifiques dans cette zone. Dans chaque verger, trois types d’attractifs pour Tephritidae ont été utilisés : le terpinyl acétate (Ter), le trimeurel (Tri), et le toruïla (Tor). Les pièges ont été relevés chaque semaine. Résultats. Nous avons capturé 77 642 mouches des fruits en plus de 4 mois de piégeage incluant la compagne mangue ; parmi les 18 espèces de mouches de fruits identifiées, nous avons trouvé dix espèces de Ceratitis, six espèces de Dacus et deux espèces de Bactrocera. Au sein du genre Bactrocera, nous avons identifié deux espèces de ravageurs de grande importance économique : nous avons confirmé la présence de B. cucurbitae pour les cultures de Cucurbitaceae et nous avons détecté celle de B. invadens pour les cultures fruitières. Les principales espèces de ceratites présentes ont été Ceratitis siliestrri et C. siliestrri. Discussion. Nous avons capturé davantage d’espèces de mouches des fruits dans les vergers mixtes de manguiers que dans les vergers homogènes. De même nous avons capturé davantage d’individus de B. invadens dans les vergers mixtes probablement à cause du régime polyphage de cette espèce. Cette nouvelle espèce invasive, B. invadens, peut se développer au niveau de nombreuses autres espèces fruitières autres que le mangue, ce qui lui permet d’accroître ses potentialités de reproduction. Conclusion. Une lutte efficace contre cette espèce invasive requiert (i) l’approfondissement de recherches fondamentales et une recherche appliquée efficace, (ii) un paquet technologique efficace en lutte intégrée, (iii) une lutte menée à l’échelle du bassin de production, (iv) un effort général mené à la fois par les centres nationaux de recherche, les services du développement, les exportateurs, les associations de plantateurs et les différents intervenants au niveau des filières fruitières (principalement manque).
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1. Introduction

The West Africa region is an expanding export centre of tropical and subtropical fruit, despite capacity that is limited in comparison with Asian or Central and South American production; it has been growing significantly in the last ten years, especially in Senegal [1]. Senegalese production of mango, in particular, has increased twofold in 15 years to reach about 90,000 t in both 2005 [1] and 2006. By ripening at the end of the dry season and at the start of the rainy season, the mango is a fundamental source of nutrition for rural populations living in the Sudano-Sahelian regions of West Africa: it is rich in potassium, alpha-carotene, vitamin C and calcium. Mango exports also generate valuable income for Senegal, where mango exportations reached 6,410 t in 2006 [1] to lift Senegal into second place among West African countries exporting mangoes to Europe. However, reliable export markets for mangoes can be guaranteed only when a country is able to produce high-quality fruits, free from disease and insects, especially quarantine pests.

In this context, mango quality in Senegal is hampered not only by socio-economic constraints but also by three kinds of phytosanitary problems: (i) phytopathological (anthracnosis, stem-end rot, Oidium and other pathogens), (ii) physiological disorders (jelly seed, soft nose), and (iii) insects. If these phytosanitary problems are controlled, Senegal could become the leading exporter of mango in West Africa. The most destructive of the many harmful insect species are thrips (Thysanoptera) on flowers; mealy bugs (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), mainly on leaves; termites (Isoptera: Termitidae) attacking the roots, collar and trunk of live mango trees; and various fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) species in fruits. Our study focused on this last pest group.

In fact, no real overview of the tephritid species has been reported for this country, especially on fruit crops (mangoes, citrus, etc.). Questions had been asked by a number of people prior to 2004 about the occurrence of many fruit fly species in Senegalese orchards. To get an up-to-date and complete view of the status of fruit fly species living in mango orchards in Senegal, Senegalese teams (CERES-LOCUSTOX and DPV) and PIP-COLEACP-CIRAD carried out an experiment during the 2004 season. It focused on the trapping of fruit flies with several attractants in different types of orchards near Dakar. The experiment that we thus carried out in six orchards in the Niayes region determined the fly species captured, monitored their population fluctuations before, during and after the mango season, and analysed the data.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study sites

The Niayes region in Senegal is characterised by a long sandy strip of 180 km length and 10–30 km width along the Atlantic coast from Thiès (south) to St-Louis (north). This zone is characterised by a Sahelian climate with unimodal rainfall (250–350 mm yearly) from July to September. It...
is composed of sandy soils cut across by interdune depressions with high agronomic potential. It is both the premier economic region of Senegal, with well-developed peri-urban farming, and the principal fruit-producing area, with many orchards of mangoes and citrus. During the last ten years, it has been the first mango export region, providing 80% of all the country's mango exports. Grafted cultivars predominate because growers were quick to appreciate the comparative advantage of this product for export to Europe.

For our experiment, trapping activities were conducted in the southern zone of Niayes. A total of six sites (Table I) was selected in (i) Keur Moussa, (ii) Keur Sega, (iii) Notto Gouyé Diama A, (iv) Mbambilor, (v) Keur Ndame Lô, and (vi) Notto Gouyé Diama B. The first three sites were mixed mango orchards, with Kent and Keitt mango trees predominant, and the three other sites were homogeneous Kent mango orchards.

### 2.2. Trapping

Detection trapping was monitored during 20 consecutive weeks from June to October 2004, the experiment being carried out before, during and after the rainy season. In the Niayes region, the mango fruiting season begins in July and culminates in September, so the trapping period covered this targeted fruiting period.

The same type of device was used for each orchard — nine Tephri traps (from Sorygar SL, Spain), of which three contained...
### Table II.
Characteristics of the sites which were surveyed regarding the presence of fruit fly species (Diptera, Tephritidae) in mango orchards in the Niayes region, Senegal, in 2004.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>GPS coordinates</th>
<th>Orchard type</th>
<th>Description of the site</th>
<th>Fruits cultivated (common name and scientific name)</th>
<th>Neighbouring crops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notto Gouyé Diama (A)</td>
<td>Lat. 14° 58' 17&quot; N; long. 17° 00' 09&quot; E</td>
<td>Mixed mango orchard: Kent, Keitt.</td>
<td>90 ha, commercial farm with mango (50%) and citrus (50%). Mango trees &gt; 12 years old productivity: medium</td>
<td>Mango, <em>Mangifera indica</em> L.; Sweet orange, <em>Citrus sinensis</em> (L.) Osbeck.; Lemon, <em>Citrus limon</em> (L.); Tangerine, <em>Citrus reticulata</em> Blanco; Grapefruit, <em>Citrus paradisi</em> Macfad.</td>
<td>Cucurbitaceae: <em>Cucurbita pepo</em> L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbambilor</td>
<td>Lat. 14° 48' 08&quot; N; long. 17° 11' 03&quot; E</td>
<td>Homogeneous Kent mango orchard.</td>
<td>6 ha, small pure mango orchard. Mango trees: 6 years old productivity: low</td>
<td>Mango, <em>Mangifera indica</em> L.</td>
<td>Mango</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndam Lo</td>
<td>Lat. 14° 51' 17&quot; N; long. 17° 05' 40&quot; E</td>
<td>Homogeneous Kent mango orchard.</td>
<td>10 ha of pure mango orchard. Mango trees: 7 to 8 years old productivity: good</td>
<td>Mango, <em>Mangifera indica</em> L.</td>
<td>Mango</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Terpinyl acetate (Ter) and three contained Trimedlure (Tri), both substances being diffused from solid cylindrical substrates or plugs (from IPS Ltd., England). The final three traps were baited with Torula yeast (Tor) using three tablets (from Chemtica Int., Costa Rica) in 300 mL of water per trap. In most orchards, the traps were placed in a “Latin square” distribution. Traps were suspended in the mango trees on branches in the lower third of the foliage, where they could be reached by hand. In order to prevent any potential weaver ant activity, the wire holding the trap was coated with thick grease. However, the density of weaver ants was quite low in this region.

Traps were serviced once a week. In each trap, the captured flies were counted, removed with tweezers and preserved in small vials containing alcohol (70°) once a week. Vials were labelled and transported to the laboratory. Parapheromone lures and insecticide cubes were changed at the end of every fourth week. The protein bait was replaced once a week after carefully cleaning the different traps.

### 2.3. Identification

By December 2004, the preserved fly species were identified by using De Meyer’s articles [3, 4, 11, 12] and the White and Elson-Harris book [5].

Some undetermined Dacinae specimens were sent to Ian White (BNHM-London, UK) for identification, while some specimens of Ceratitinae fly were also sent to Marc De Meyer (RMCA, Tervuren, Belgium).

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted with SAS software [13]. The linear mixed-effects model analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure, PROC MIXED, was used. The mixed model analysis is well able to adjust for the serial auto-correlation among repeated samples on each experimental unit over the weeks and months of data collection, as well as being able to evaluate appropriately the variance-covariance test structure of several sources of random error terms involved [14].

We considered the “orchard type” and “attractant” as the fixed effects factors, and “locality” (nested) within orchard type, sample months and sample weeks (nested) within months as the random effects factors. Again, counts of trapped insects were log10(\(x+1\)) transformed before analysis to stabilise the variance. The ANOVA was followed by pairwise t-testing to compare the means of the two orchard types and the means of the three attractant baits. We also examined the nature of the interaction between orchards and attractants wherever it was significant. The analysis was done for each insect species greater than 100 in numbers trapped, since the attractants and insect abundance seem to be insect-specific.

The individual sample trap counts of the insect species (for species’ overall total counts greater than 1000) were analysed by the Shannon-Weaver diversity index (\(H'\)). Jain et al. [15] gave \(H'\) as:

\[
H' = \sum_{i=1}^{k} P_i \ln P_i
\]

of classification classes of insect abundance and \(P_i\) is the proportion of the total number of entries (or cell count, \(N\)) in the class. \(H'\) was estimated for each insect species by locality. There were six localities used in all and they fall into the two orchard types: mixed and homogeneous. Each value of \(H'\) was standardised by dividing it, by its maximum value (log\_e \(k\)), in order to keep the values in the range of 0–1 [16]. A one-way analysis of variance of the non-transformed \(H'\) was performed for each locality using all classifying insect types.

The Shannon diversity index has been used to determine the evolution and distribution pattern in several insect groups in relation to trapping [17] and also in Tephritidae biodiversity [18].

Furthermore, hierarchical cluster analysis of the diversity indices obtained for the localities sampled was used to assess the level of intra-orchard type similarities based on the various insect species trapped. This was done using the cluster procedure of SAS.
3. Results

3.1. Preliminary inventory

At this step, a total of 18 species was determined.


*Ceratitis cosyra*, *C. silvestrii*, *C. fasciventris*, *C. capitata*, *C. ditissima*, *C. ananae*, *D. ciliatus* and *B. invadens* were captured in all six orchards. *Bactrocera cucurbitae* and *C. quinaria* were mainly captured in Keur Moussa, Keur Sega and Notto Gouyé Diama A. Other species such as *C. bremii*, *C. punctata*, *D. vertebratus*, *D. biivittatus*, *D. guineensis*, *D. xanthinus* and *D. velutifrons* were mainly captured in Keur Moussa, Keur Sega and Notto Gouyé Diama A, which are all mixed orchards, and a few specimens in homogeneous orchards (table III).

This study collected 11 fruit fly species, mainly in Keur Moussa, which had not previously been collected in Senegal, let alone the Niayes area (table I).

3.2. Lure response

For all insect species, the ANOVA results indicated a highly significant attractant effect of the lures used. However, the pattern of the attractants' mean differences depended on the insect (table IV). Three distinct patterns emerged: (a) very high insect counts by Ter, while Tor and Tri caught very low and nearly equal numbers of *C. cosyra*, *C. quinaria* and *C. silvestrii*; (b) very high insect counts by Tor, while Ter and Tri caught very low and equal numbers of *B. invadens*, *B. cucurbitae* and *D. ciliatus*; (c) very high insect counts by Tri, while Tor and Ter caught very low and nearly equal numbers of *C. fasciventris*, *C. ananae* and *C. capitata*.

The attractant Terpinyl acetate (Ter) was a significantly better attractant for *C. cosyra* (*F* = 484.13, *P* < 0.001), for *C. quinaria* (*F* = 17.43, *P* < 0.001), and for *C. silvestrii* (*F* = 924.06, *P* < 0.001). It is a relevant attractant for these three fly species.

The attractant Trime lure (Tri) was a significantly better attractant for *F. fasciventris* (*F* = 66.78, *P* < 0.001), for *C. ananae* (*F* = 31.18, *P* < 0.001), and for *C. capitata* (*F* = 57.03, *P* < 0.001). It is a relevant attractant for these three fly species.

The attractant Torula pellets (Tor) was a significantly better attractant for *B. invadens* (*F* = 91.45, *P* < 0.001), for *B. cucurbitae* (*F* = 12.29, *P* < 0.001), and for *D. ciliatus* (*F* = 31.54, *P* < 0.001). They are suitable for attracting all the fly species present in or around orchards.

3.3. The abundance of different fruit fly species for each type of orchard

Overall, the abundance of insect species for mixed and homogeneous orchards was 59.1% and 41.9%, respectively, where these percentages refer to the total catch. The percentage ratio [mixed : homogeneous] varied from [53 : 47] for *C. cosyra* to [99 : 1] for *B. cucurbitae*, indicating quite high species counts caught in mixed orchards rather than in homogeneous orchards. Analysis of variance of the abundance revealed a significant difference between mixed and homogeneous orchards' overall species counts (*F* = 31.42, *P* < 0.001), but there were no significant differences between localities (within orchard types) (*F* = 0.60, *P* = 0.66).

The study of abundance of each fruit fly species in the two types of orchards (mixed or homogeneous) shows that the total of individuals of the different fruit fly species is generally higher for mixed mango orchards than for homogeneous mango orchards. Furthermore, some fly species,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fruit fly species</th>
<th>Mixed orchards</th>
<th>Homogeneous orchards</th>
<th>Total of two types of orchards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keur Moussa</td>
<td>Keur Sega</td>
<td>Notto Gouyé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratitis cosyra</td>
<td>3212</td>
<td>7278</td>
<td>15420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1248</td>
<td>4505</td>
<td>8836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3285</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>453</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of Ceratitis</td>
<td>7937</td>
<td>12473</td>
<td>24842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bactrocera cucurbitae</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>705</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of Bactrocera</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dacus ciliatus</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of Dacus</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
such as *C. flexuosa*, *C. punctata* and *C. bremii*, are only present in mixed mango orchards (*figure 1*).

### 3.4. Results of fly catches per orchard

The results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed a slightly significant difference between the two orchard types for *B. invadens* and *C. anonae* (*table IV*). Other insect species showed non-significant difference between the orchard types.

We observed that there was very highly significant orchard and attractant interaction with *B. invadens*, *B. cucurbitae*, *C. cosyra* and *C. capitata*. That was because mixed orchards had higher numbers of insect catches than homogeneous orchards. The above pattern of attractant catches remained the same for the mixed orchards, but the levels of catches by attractants were often about the same in homogeneous orchards.

### 3.5. Fluctuations of fly populations

We have illustrated the fluctuations of tephritid populations in the two types of orchards (*figures 2, 3*) because each category of orchard showed the same fly dynamics population patterns.

In the mixed mango orchard of Keur Moussa (*figure 2*), the three main fly pests during the dry season and the first rains

---

**Table IV.** Results of an ANOVA studying interaction of fruit fly species (*C.*: *Ceratitis*; *B.*: *Bactrocera*; *D.*: *Dacus*) with attractants (Ter: Terpinyl, Tor: Torula, Tri: Trimedlure) (Niayes region, Senegal, in 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of catches</th>
<th>Insect sp.</th>
<th>Orchard type</th>
<th>Attractant</th>
<th>Orchard × attractant interaction</th>
<th>Total count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td><em>C. cosyra</em> ns</td>
<td>Ter = +++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>47759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. silvestrii</em> ns</td>
<td>Ter = +++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>22908</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. quinaria</em> ns</td>
<td>Ter = +++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>102</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. invadens</em> + (mixed)</td>
<td>Tor = +++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>1257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. ciliatus</em> ns</td>
<td>Tor = +++</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>247</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. cucurbitae</em> ns</td>
<td>Tor = +++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>243</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. capitata</em> ns</td>
<td>Tri = +++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>3358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. anonae</em> + (mixed)</td>
<td>Tri = +++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>1002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. fasciventris</em> ns</td>
<td>Tri = +++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td><em>C. ditissima</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. punctata</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. flexuosa</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. bivittatus</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. vertebratus</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. bremii</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. guineensis</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. velutifrons</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>D. xanthinus</em> –</td>
<td>Tor / –</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ns = not significant at the 5% level,
+ = significant at the 5% level,
++ = significant at the 1% level,
+++ = significant at the 0.1% level,
– = not analysed.
Figure 1.
Abundance of different fruit fly species according to two types (mixed or homogeneous) of mango orchard (Niayes region, Senegal, in 2004).

Figure 2.
Fluctuation in fruit fly populations in a mixed mango orchard (Keur Moussa, Niayes region, Senegal) from June to October 2004.
were *C. capitata*, *C. cosyra*, and *C. silvestrii* at a lower level. These species peaked in June for *C. capitata* with 200 flies per trap per week, in mid-August in 2004 for *C. cosyra* with 225 flies per trap per week and *C. silvestrii* with 60 flies per trap per week. After the rains, *B. invadens* peaked at the beginning of October with 80 flies per trap per week.

In the homogeneous mango orchard of Keur Ndame Lo (figure 3), the two main fly pests during the dry season and the first rains were *C. cosyra* and *C. silvestrii*. *Ceratitis cosyra* peaked at the beginning of August in 2004 with 220 flies per trap per week, and *C. silvestrii* with 220 flies per trap per week in mid-August. After the rains, the most significant fly pest was *B. invadens*, which peaked in mid-October with 20 flies per trap per week.

### 3.6. Biodiversity: estimates and analysis of diversity

The Shannon-Weaver diversity index was estimated for insect species by localities with only the food-bait Torula pellets (Tor) (table V).

The mean of the Shannon diversity index pooled over insect species within localities varied from 0.15 in Notto Gouyé Diama B (homogeneous mango orchard) to 0.81 in Keur Sega (mixed mango orchard) (table V). There were significant differences in diversity indices for two mixed orchards (Keur Sega and Notto Gouyé Diama A) at the α = 0.05 significance level (table V), confirming the distribution of insect diversity was higher for the mixed orchards.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1. Fruit fly inventory

This is a preliminary inventory of fruit fly species in the Niayes region of Senegal. An inventory of fruit flies of the Casamance area could also be useful in creating the first list of Senegalese fruit fly species. As we know, several important fly species were...
previously recorded in Senegal such as C. silvestrii [2], C. cosyra under the name C. giffardi [3], C. capitata, D. ciliatus [6–8] and other Dacus species [9]. But other fruit flies, including C. fasciventris, C. anonae and the new invasive B. invadens, had not been recorded before this experiment. Since 2004, several studies have been carried out in Senegal [19, 20], focusing on the economic importance of the new invasive fruit fly species, B. invadens, and attempting for the first time to target control against it.

This latest invasive species, which originates from Southern Asia, was described in 2005 and called Bactrocera invadens Drew Tsuruta & White. It belongs to the Bactrocera dorsalis complex of tropical fruit flies which includes around 75 species often endemic to South East Asia [21–23]. From the general overview obtained, it seems that this new invasive species was still acclimatising in Senegal in 2004, although it is widely distributed in other parts of sub-Saharan Africa.

This invasive species was found for the first time in Africa, in Kenya, in 2003 [24], then in Tanzania [25], and it was reported in 2004 in Sudan [26], Senegal [27] and Benin [28], as well as in other West African countries in 2005 [29]. However, both the timing and precise pathway of the invasion by B. invadens into Africa, especially West Africa, are not really known.

De Meyer has presented two relevant models which yielded similar estimates and largely corresponded to Equatorial climate classes with high levels of precipitation, suggesting that B. invadens prefers hot and humid environments [30]. Despite these preferences, we have seen that this invasive species can occur in dry savannah in West Africa and even in Sahelian areas such as Niayes. This can probably be explained by the existence of an anthropogenic microclimate with very well-developed peri-urban farming with many intensive irrigated horticultural crops in the Niayes zone. During the rainy season, this species is also widespread and abundant along the river Senegal from St-Louis to Kaedi (above 16 degrees of latitude) and Maghama, reaching Selibaby in Mauritania [29]. Present in Central Africa (Angola, Cameroon, Gabon, democratic Republic of Congo, etc.), B. invadens is still expanding in Mozambique, Zambia and Namibia1. This fly species has quickly become a tremendous threat on a continental scale.

Another invasive Bactrocera species found in Senegal is B. cucurbitae. The first collection of the melon fly in Senegal was carried out on November 5, 2003 [31] with collections of infested Momordica charantia around Dakar; the preliminary data from which was therefore checked in the following year.

Of other species belonging to the genus Dacus [32], two new Dacus species – D. xanthinus White & Goodger and

---


---

Table V.
Estimates of the Shannon-Weaver diversity index of six major insect species (with attractant = Torula) by localities (C.: Ceratitis; B.: Bactrocera; D.: Dacus) (Niayes region, Senegal, in 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Orchard type</th>
<th>B. invadens</th>
<th>C. anonae</th>
<th>C. capitata</th>
<th>C. cosyra</th>
<th>C. fasciventris</th>
<th>C. silvestrii</th>
<th>Mean (± SE)1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keur Séga</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.81 (0.06) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notto G Diama A</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.72 (0.08) a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keur Moussa</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.31 (0.16) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndame Lo</td>
<td>Homogeneous</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40 (0.10) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbambilor</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.28 (0.08) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notto G Diama B</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.15 (0.15) b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SE: standard error.

1 SNK tests in ANOVA at the 0.05 significant level.
4.2. Major and minor pest species

In Senegal, we found roughly the same fly inventory (taken in mango orchards) as in the Sudanian zone lato sensu of Benin, except for a few Dacus species. Among the 18 species, only B. invadens, C. cosyra, C. silvestrii and C. quinaria are economically significant for mango production in Borgou [28] (Benin), with B. invadens as the number one pest [34] and C. cosyra as the number two.

Today, Bactrocera invadens is a quarantine pest; it is on the alert lists of European [35] and North American plant protection organisations. This species has been reported to cause significant losses in cultivated mango and citrus crops in Benin [34], Kenya [36] and Tanzania [37]. Bactrocera invadens is particularly polyphagous. It attacks over 40 different species of fleshy fruits in Benin. As in Benin, it is commonly found in Senegal [38] in the main cultivated fruits such as mango (Mangifera indica), guava (Psidium guajava), sweet orange (Citrus sinensis), mandarin (Citrus reticulata), tangelo (Citrus reticulata × Citrus paradisi), pomelo (Citrus paradisi), kumquat (Fortunella margarita), sour sop (Annona muricata), papaya (Carica papaya), and wild species such as tropical almond (Terminalia catappa), Sclerocarya birrea and Vitellaria paradoxa in Benin [28, 29, 34, 39]. This fly is also found less frequently in Benin in cashew (Anacardium occidentale) [40], avocado (Persea americana), banana (Musa acuminata), malay apple (Syzgium malaccense), bully tree (Manilkara zapota), star apple (Chrysophyllum albidum), star fruit (Averrhoa carambola), chilli pepper (Capsicum frutescens), tomato (Lycopersicum esculentum) and wild custard apple (Annona senegalensis) [39]. As in other West African countries, the varying status of these host species can be evaluated differently in each of Benin’s agro-ecological zones [39].

During recent years, the major pests of mango orchards in Senegal have been undoubtedly C. cosyra and B. invadens [1, 19, 20], while the major citrus pests are C. capitata and B. invadens (Rey, pers. commun.). According to Rey’s observations and some collections we have carried out in Senegal, the major cucurbit pests remain B. cucurbitae and, to a lesser extent, D. ciliatus. Minor pests, including fruit fly species of no real economic importance such as C. quinaria and C. fasciventris, have been found in low numbers in Senegal in recent years [38].

The 2004 exercise was a snapshot in time and nobody could predict the later abundance of each species found. The most plausible hypothesis would be a significant decrease in the tephritid biodiversity in Senegalese orchards due to the arrival and the quick dispersal of B. invadens throughout the country. Any decrease would be similar in the north along the Senegal River where B. invadens is very abundant both during and after the rainy season.

These two Asian Bactrocera invaders remain major horticultural pest species, widespread not just in Senegal, where B. invadens predominates on fruit crops [28] and B. cucurbitae on vegetable crops [31], but also in 14 other West African countries.

4.3. Lure response

Exactly the same pattern for lure response is found in Benin as in Senegal. Terpinyl acetate is a suitable attractant for C. cosyra, C. quinaria and C. silvestrii [34]. Trimedlure is a suitable attractant for C. fasciventris, C. anonae and C. capitata [28]. Torula yeast is a polyvalent attractant for all tephritid species, mainly the females, but also the juvenile males [34].

Methyl eugenol was not used in Senegal during the 2004 mango season because...
nobody anticipated the presence of any Bactrocera species to be attracted by the parathermone methyl eugenol at that time. But Torula pellets worked quite well and the first adults of B. invadens were quickly captured after the first rains in mixed mango orchards (figure 2) as well as in homogeneous ones (figure 3). A few males and many females were caught in Torula-baited traps, which remain good attractants. In Benin, Torula bait works better than the dry ‘3-component’ food bait tested during three consecutive years (Vayssières et al., unpubl.) in Benin.

The protein bait Torula is an attractant that does not work in the same way as the parathermones but instead as a food substance necessary for sexual maturation of adults and the development of eggs. So, food-baited traps are more general attractants than the specific attractant of a pheromone lure. Therefore, protein baits can indicate the presence of most fruit fly species living or just flying in a particular environment. For biodiversity studies, it is an excellent attractant.

4.4. Fluctuations of populations

The ratio of flies per trap per week shows that, overall, Senegal had a very moderate infestation of C. cosyra as they peaked at 200–220 flies per trap per week for both mixed and homogeneous mango orchards. The recorded infestation of this species was less than that in Benin during 2005–2006 [34].

For B. invadens, its very low flies per trap per week ratio in 2004 may mean that this new invasive species was in the ‘arrival’ and ‘installation’ phases in Senegal, and especially in the Niayes area. The very high peaks of B. invadens we have encountered in Senegal with recent detection trapping activities with the WAFFI [West African Fruit Fly Initiative, based at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) station of Cotonou-Benin] reveal high levels (more than 4000–5000 flies per trap per week), irrespective of the area in Niayes, Siné Saloum and the Casamance. Since 2005, they have been peaking dramatically in the middle or during the second half of the mango season.

4.5. Biodiversity

Keur Moussa was the most diverse site, with ten available host species (table II). The differing seasonalities of these different host species can provide food for tephritids over a long period. The situation is similar – but at a lower level – for Keur Sega (seven different hosts) and Notto Gouyé Diama A (six different hosts).

Mixed orchards with different fruit species (mangoes, citrus, guavas, etc.) generally had a greater abundance of different fruit fly species (table II) than homogeneous mango orchards. Furthermore, some fly species such as B. cucurbitae, C. punctata, C. bremii and C. flexuosa are not present in homogeneous mango orchards (figure 1).

Six years after our preliminary detection of these 18 fruit fly species, the percentage frequencies of these Senegalese fly species could be quite different. According to Rey, a decreasing biodiversity index in the Niayes area was noticed during the 2008 and 2009 seasons. The heavy colonisation of B. invadens in all agro-ecological zones of Senegal could be responsible for the displacement of native tephritid species in Senegal, just as we have already seen in Benin [28] with the same fly species, or in Reunion Island [41, 42] with Bactrocera zonata and other Ceratitis species.

5. Conclusion

This short survey conducted using fruit fly traps in Senegal’s main fruit-producing area in 2004 was able to: (i) summarise the differences and the fly diversity observed between mango orchards and mixed orchards, and (ii) highlight the presence of the invasive species, B. invadens, for the first time in this area and thus in Senegal.

In terms of fluctuations in fruit fly populations, we have only a snapshot taken during these few months of the 2004 season. This snapshot is interesting because it depicts the beginning of the acclimation of B. invadens in Senegal. This alien species was prevalent in all six orchards studied but
at low levels, due probably to its recent arrival in the region. It also shows that many tephritid species are present in Senegalese orchards within homogenous mango orchards, but especially within mixed orchards (mango-dominant). The three mixed mango orchards surveyed present the highest fruit fly diversity overall. The greater diversity of potential hosts could lead to higher diversity in fruit flies. For instance, Keur Moussa, with a dozen fleshy fruit species (table II), harbours the most abundant range of fly species as compared with those of Mbambilor or Ndame Lo, with fewer fruit species. This is an indication of the extent of host availability in the orchards rather than the fruit fly pest fauna. Thus, the greater the extent of host fruit species in the orchard, the greater the fruit fly diversity.

Despite the publication of several recent important articles on the host range of *B. invadens* in both East Africa [36, 37, 43] and West Africa [34, 39], much more knowledge is needed on its biology, ecology and behaviour. Particularly, studies are needed on its life history, its spread (adaptive significance of its movements in relation to environmental factors), its biotic and abiotic mortality factors, demographic analysis in function of different hosts and, finally, a modelling system in relation to control strategies. We need “to learn this fly” because this invasive fly species is out-competing and replacing the native fly species, as we have previously observed [28], and also noted in Kenya [44] and in Tanzania [45]. The interspecific competition of *B. invadens* and *C. cosyra* leads to a displacement of the native species in favour of the invasive one, a phenomenon frequently observed for fruit fly introductions and invasions, especially for the genus *Bactrocera* on other continents [46].

To control *B. invadens*, it is clear that the high comparative advantages (in terms of biology, ecology and behaviour) of this alien species *versus* native fly species necessitate a strong effort. The following four aims should be fulfilled:

(i) research effort is still needed for *B. invadens* even though we have some preliminary results on its life history,

(ii) an IPM package is required in order to reduce the fly population below an Economic Injury Level,

(iii) for several control methods to be effective, they should be planned as an area-wide approach,

(iv) the management of this alien species also requires a large sub-regional effort with joint contributions and synergies.

To this end, some very interesting initiatives are already being developed in Senegal through the DPV (*Direction de la Protection des Végétaux*) (with Kemo Badji) and through the FAES (*Fondation Agir pour l’Education et la Santé*) (with Christiaan Kooyman) focused on biological control activities in collaboration with WAFFI.
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**Resumen — Introducción.** En Senegal, antes de 2004, se desconocía bastante la lista de las especies de mosca de la fruta inventariadas. Por esta razón el PIP-COLEACP y el CIRAD, en colaboración con el Cérès-DPV, comenzaron en 2004 a colocar trampas de detección de moscas de la fruta en seis vergeles de mango situados en Niayes. **Material y métodos.** En esta zona, seleccionamos tres vergeles mixtos (con predominio de mango) y tres vergeles de mango mono-específicos. En cada vergel se emplearon tres tipos de atrayentes para Tephritidae: acetato de *Terpinyl* (Ter), trimedlure (Tri), y túrlula (Tor). Se recogieron las trampas semanalmente. **Resultados.** Capturamos 77 642 moscas de la fruta en más de 4 meses de instalación de trampas; entre las 18 especies de mosca identificadas, encontramos diez especies de *Ceratitis*, seis especies de *Dacus* y dos especies de *Bactrocera*. Dentro del género de *Bactrocera*, identificamos dos especies de plagas de gran importancia económica: confirmamos la presencia de *B. cucurbitae* en los cultivos de Cucurbitaceae y detectamos la presencia de *B. invadens* para los cultivos frutícolas. Las principales especies de mosca mediterránea de la fruta presentes fueron *Ceratitis cosyra* y *C. silvestrii*. **Discusión.** Capturamos más especies de la mosca de la fruta en vergeles mixtos de mango que en vergeles homogéneos. Del mismo modo, capturamos más individuos de *B. invadens* en vergeles mixtos, probablemente a causa del régimen polífago de dicha especie. Esta nueva especie invasiva, *B. invadens*, puede desarrollarse igual que otras muchas especies frutales que no sean el mango, lo que le permite aumentar sus potencialidades de reproducción. **Conclusión.** La lucha eficaz contra esta especie invasiva requiere (i) la puesta en marcha de actividades de investigación fundamentales con el objetivo de redefinir una investigación aplicada eficaz, (ii) un paquete tecnológico eficaz de lucha integrada, (iii) una lucha realizada a escala de la cuenca productora, (iv) un esfuerzo general realizado a la vez por los centros nacionales de investigación, los servicios de desarrollo, los exportadores, las asociaciones de plantadores y los diferentes actores a nivel de las filiales frutales (principalmente mango).
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