Effect of chitosan and sodium alginate edible coatings on the postharvest quality of fresh-cut nectarines during storage
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Abstract – Introduction. The use of edible coatings could be a new technological alternative to maintain fruit quality during cold storage. The objective of this work was to determine the effects of different coatings, alone or in conjunction, on the quality of fresh-cut nectarines during storage. Materials and methods. Nectarines (Prunus persica L.) cv. Big Top were studied under three different coatings: 2% acid-soluble chitosan, 1.5% sodium alginate, and a solution with 3% chitosan and 2% sodium alginate. Slices were then sealed in polyethylene plastic bags and stored at 4 °C and 95% RH for 9 days. Titratable acidity, soluble solids content, firmness, weight loss, color, browning potential and polyphenol oxidase activity were determined. Yeasts and molds were also monitored. Results and discussion. Nectarine firmness was maintained equally in all analyzed groups. The color parameter L* showed a tendency to decrease with the increasing length of storage, with lower values in alginate- and alginate + chitosan-coated fruits. The chitosan coating decreased the metabolism activity of fruit during the cold storage without significant changes in the fruit quality (lightness and total soluble solid content). The chitosan coating also controlled the growth of microorganisms. Conclusion. The chitosan coating appears to be a promising preservation alternative and an effective method to improve the quality and shelf life of fresh-cut nectarines in marketing conditions.
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Résumé – Effet du chitosane et de l’alginate de sodium comme enrobages comestibles sur la qualité post-récolte des nectarines fraîches coupées en conservation. Introduction. L’utilisation d’un enrobage comestible pourrait être une nouvelle solution technologique pour maintenir la qualité des fruits découpés conservés au froid. L’objectif de ce travail était de déterminer les effets de différents enrobages, seuls ou associés, sur la qualité des nectarines fraîchement coupées en conservation. Matériel et méthodes. La variété de nectarines (Prunus persica L.) ‘Big Top’ a été soumise à trois traitements d’enrobage différents : le chitosane à 2 % soluble dans l’acide, l’alginate de sodium à 1,5 %, et une solution combinant le chitosane à 3 % et l’alginate de sodium à 2 %. Les tranches de nectarine ont ensuite été scellées dans des sacs plastiques en polyéthylène et conservées à 4 °C et 95 % d’humidité relative pendant 9 jours. L’acidité titrable, la teneur en composés solubles, la fermeté, la perte de poids, la couleur, le potentiel de brunissement et l’activité polyphénol oxydase ont été mesurés. Le développement de levures et moisissures a également été surveillé. Résultats et discussion. La fermeté des fruits s’est maintenue égale dans tous les traitements analysés. Le paramètre L* de couleur a eu tendance à diminuer au cours de la conservation, avec des valeurs plus faibles pour les enrobages alginate seul et la combinaison [chitosane + alginate]. L’enrobage au chitosane a diminué l’activité du métabolisme des fruits pendant le stockage au froid sans changer de façon significative la qualité des fruits (vivacité du ton et contenu en composés solubles totaux). Le traitement au chitosane a également permis de contrôler la croissance des micro-organismes. Conclusion. L’enrobage au chitosane semble être une solution de conservation prometteuse et une méthode efficace pour maintenir la qualité et la durée de vie des nectarines conservées dans les conditions de mise en marché.

Mots clés : Italie / nectarine / Prunus persica enrobage / fruits frais coupés / stockage après récolte / aptitude à la conservation
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1 Introduction

Edible coatings protect food products from mechanical, physical, chemical and microbial damage, and could be a new technological alternative to maintain fruit quality during cold storage [1]. Coatings on products create a semi-permeable barrier to external elements that can reduce moisture loss, solute migration, respiration and oxidative reactions and retard the natural physiological ripening process [2]. However, choosing the appropriate coating formulation definitely affects the effectiveness of edible films and coatings. A range of polymers can be used in the edible coating formulation. Chitosan coating has been successfully used to sustain the quality in peach and nectarine fruits [3, 4]. Moreover, chitosan shows antifungal activity and antimicrobial properties during storage [5, 6]. Sodium alginate is a hydrophilic biopolymer that has a coating function because of its unique colloidal properties, which include its use for thickening, suspension forming, gel forming and emulsion stabilizing [7, 8]. Sodium alginate films have been successfully used in apple and peach [9–11]. Maftoonazad et al. (2008) [9] found that the shelf life of pieces of peach could be extended using sodium alginate and methyl cellulose coatings. Different studies [12, 13] focused on edible coatings on whole fruit of nectarine and peach. Pizato et al. (2013) [14] applied different coatings to cubes of peach.

Fresh-cut fruit is one of the most promising areas where edible coatings can be applied because this technique can compensate for the damage inflicted to fruit integrity in the course of minimal fruit processing (washing, sorting, trimming, peeling and slicing), and thus control deterioration and extend the market life of the products. New strategies and technologies to maintain quality and extend shelf life are required in order to make the marketing of these products viable. The development of antimicrobial edible coatings as a cost-effective means to improve the shelf life of fresh-cut fruit has been investigated and its potential demonstrated [15, 16]. The objective of the present study was to evaluate different coating formulations consisting of chitosan and sodium alginate in order to assess their effects on the physical, chemical and hygienic parameters of fresh-cut nectarines during 9 days of refrigerated storage.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Plant material

Nectarines (Prunus persica (L.) Batsch., ‘Big Top’) were harvested at commercial maturity, and stored for one day (4 °C and 95% relative humidity, RH) before processing. The fruits were cut into 15-mm-thick slices.

2.2 Preparation of coating solutions

Three different coatings were prepared. A 2% (w/v) acid-soluble chitosan (Sigma-Aldrich Co., Steinheim, Germany) coating solution was prepared by dissolving chitosan in 1% aqueous acetic acid with 50% glycerol and 0.15% Tween 20 (w/v) in accordance with Duan et al. [17]. The coating was homogenized for 90 s and then stored overnight at room temperature. Slices were immersed in the chitosan solution for 2 min and air-dried at room temperature for 30 min.

A 1.5% (w/v) sodium alginate (Sigma-Aldrich Co., Steinheim, Germany) solution was prepared by dissolving the sodium alginate powder in distilled water upon stirring at 70 °C for 2 h. Then, the solution was cooled to 25 °C in accordance with Poverenov et al. [18]. Slices were immersed in the alginate solution for 2 min and then immersed in 5% aqueous solution of CaCl₂ for 2 min (Sigma-Aldrich Co., Steinheim, Germany) to perform gelation of alginate molecules by cross-linking. The samples were air-dried at room temperature for 30 min.

A 1.5% (w/v) chitosan and 1% (w/v) sodium alginate coating solution was prepared by mixing 3% chitosan solution and 2% sodium alginate solution at a 1:1 ratio with 25% glycerol and 0.15% Tween 20 (w/v) in accordance with Duan et al. [17]. Slices were immersed in the chitosan + sodium alginate solution for 2 min and air-dried at room temperature for 30 min.

A sample washed in water was used as control. Then, nectarine slices were randomly selected and packaged in polyethylene plastic bags (20 cm × 30 cm size and 397 μm thickness) with 50 cm³ O₂ m⁻² bar⁻¹ day⁻¹ and a 15 g m⁻² bar⁻¹ day⁻¹ water vapor transmission rate (Sealed, Italy). The packages were completely sealed (UNIMEC Packaging Systems, Italy) and then were stored at 4 °C and 95% RH in darkness for 9 days.

2.3 Weight loss

Weight loss was determined by weighing the packages at the start of the experiment (time 0) and at days 0, 2, 6, 7 and 9 during storage. Values are reported as a percent of weight loss per initial nectarine package weight.

2.4 Atmosphere composition

The concentrations of oxygen and carbon dioxide inside the packages were monitored at days 2, 6, 7 and 9 during storage by sampling (0.5 mL) the headspace using a CANAL 121 (Vizag, Gas Analysis, France). A syringe was inserted into the package through a rubber seal placed on the film. Gases were analyzed with an electrochemical sensor for O₂ level and an infrared sensor for CO₂ level. The instrument was calibrated in air. Results were expressed as kPa of O₂ and CO₂ inside the packs.

2.5 Fruit quality evaluation

Physicochemical quality attributes of nectarine slices were measured before cold storage (time 0) and then after 9 days of storage.

Total soluble solid content, pH and titratable acidity were measured using juice extracted from 5 slice samples blended at high speed in a homogenizer. Three replications were used for each coating treatment. The soluble solid concentration was
determined by a digital refractometer (Atago refractometer, model PR-32) and the results expressed as °Brix. Titratable acidity and pH were measured by titrating 1:10 diluted juice, using 0.1 N NaOH and an automatic titrator (Compact 44–00, Crison).

Textural measurements were carried out before cold storage (time 0) and after 3, 7, 8 and 9 days of storage. Nectarines were randomly selected for analysis and 15 replicates (slices) were analyzed for each coating treatment. Before the analysis, samples were cooled to room temperature (20 °C) for 3 h, because most fruits and vegetables show decreasing firmness with increasing temperature [18]. Fruit firmness was determined by penetration using a TA.XT2i® Texture Analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, UK). Measurements were performed in the equatorial part of the slice, at a crosshead speed of 3 mm/s and with a 3-mm-diameter punch [19]. A 5-kg load cell was used for firmness determination and the probe was programmed to penetrate 3 mm into the slice. The maximum penetration force (N), which is related to the firmness of the samples, was the parameter selected for further statistical analysis.

The color of coated nectarine slices was measured at days 0, 3, 7, 8 and 9 of storage individually in each slice (15 replicates for each treatment). Surface color was analyzed with a tri-stimulus CR-400 Chroma Meter (Konica Minolta (15 replicates for each treatment). Surface color was calibrated with a standard white plate (Y = 94.00, x = 0.3158, y = 0.3322). Readings of L* (lightness), b* (yellow chromaticity) and a* (green chromaticity) coordinates were recorded. Also, h° (hue or angle of color, 0° = purple-red and 90° = yellow) values were measured. The reported values are the means ± SD of 15 measurements.

2.6 Browning potential

Browning potential was determined according to the method of Arias et al. [21] at days 4 and 7 of storage. The extract was obtained as follows: nectarine slices (25 g) from each treatment were homogenized for 2 min at 13,500 rpm with an Ultra-Turrax T25 (IKAs WERKE, Germany), the homogenates were centrifuged (Centrifuge AVANTITM J-25, Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, CA, USA) at 4,000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant was filtered through Whatman 4 filter paper (Whatman Intl., UK). The absorbance of the clear juice was then measured spectrophotometrically (Hitachi, U-5100, Japan) at 440 nm to determine the browning potential (BP). This measurement was replicated three times for each coating treatment.

2.7 Polyphenol oxidase activity (PPO)

Determination of the PPO activity was performed at days 4 and 7 of cold storage at 4 °C.

2.7.1 Enzyme extraction

A portion of 50 g nectarine slices was mixed with a buffer solution (1:1) at pH 6.5 containing 1M NaCl (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, Steinheim, Germany) and 5% polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, Steinheim, Germany). The mixture was blended and homogenized using an Ultra-Turrax T25 (IKAs WERKE, Germany). The homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 30 min at 4 °C (Centrifuge AVANTITM J-25, Beckman Instruments Inc., U.S.A.). The supernatant was collected and filtered through Whatman 1 paper (Whatman Intl., U.K.), and the resulting solution constituted the enzymatic extract, which was used for enzyme activity determination.

2.7.2 PPO activity measurement

Polyphenoloxidase activity was determined according to the method of Soliva-Fortuny et al. [22] and Kołodziejczyk et al. [23]. Enzyme activity was assayed spectrophotometrically by adding 3 mL of 0.05 M catechol (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie, Steinheim, Germany) and 75 μL of extract to a 4.5-mL quartz cuvette of 1 cm path length. The changes in absorbance at 400 nm were recorded every 5 s up to 3 min from the time the enzyme extract was added using a Beckman Du® 530 spectrophotometer. One unit of PPO activity was defined as a change in absorbance of 0.001 min⁻¹ mL⁻¹ of enzymatic extract immediately after extract addition. The initial reaction rate was estimated from the linear portion of the plotted curve. All determinations were performed in triplicate.

2.8 Microbiological analysis

To evaluate the microbiological efficiency of the coatings, microbiological analyses of yeasts and molds were carried out at the end of the storage period, according to the methodology described by the Compendium of Methods for the Microbiological Examination of Foods [24]. Yeast and mold counts were performed using chloramphenicol glucose agar (CGA) [25]. All the plates were incubated at room temperature for 3-5 days. Three replicates were analyzed and microbiological counts were expressed as colony-forming units (CFU) g⁻¹ sample.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by analysis of variance, using statistical procedures of STATISTICA ver. 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). The source of variance is coating treatments. Tukey’s HSP test (honest significant differences) was used to determine significant differences among treatment means. Mean values were considered significantly different at P ≤ 0.05.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Atmosphere composition

The O2 content in all fruit packs decreased as a result of fresh-cut fruit respiration and transpiration. The dynamics
The observed weight loss of nectarine slices was about 0.6–1.1% during the 9 days of storage (figure 3). Among samples, significantly higher weight loss values were obtained in alginate + chitosan samples at the end of the storage period. Differences in the ability to reduce weight loss are attributed to the different water vapor permeability of the polysaccharides used in the formulation of the edible coating [5].

The addition of glycerol as a plasticizer in the coating gave good results in terms of reducing sample weight loss, according to previous research on strawberry [29] and apple [30]. In this research, the alginate coating provided a better water barrier property than the other coatings, and thus reduced the weight loss of coated slices during storage.

3.3 Quality evaluations

The physicochemical properties of fresh-cut nectarines during the postharvest storage period are presented in table I and figures 4–6. The total soluble solid content of sample slices before coating treatments (day 0) was 10.8 °Brix. At the end of the storage period the chitosan-coated slices and control nectarines showed significantly greater values, in accordance with the research of Chien et al. [15] on mango fruits. Alginate- and alginate + chitosan-coated slices showed the lowest values. The reducing sugar content in these samples suggested that in these treatments the slices synthesized reducing sugars at a slower rate than the control and other treatments, as observed before for banana and mango coated with polysaccharide-based coatings [31].

A decrease in total acidity is typical during postharvest storage and has been attributed to the use of organic acids as substrates for the respiratory metabolism. Alginate coating delays the utilization of organic acids [32]. The results confirmed this after 9 days of storage. In fact, at the end of the storage period, the alginate samples showed significant higher titratable acidity values compared with the other two coatings.
Table 1. Effect of different coatings on quality parameters of fresh-cut nectarine dipped in different coating solutions and stored for 9 days at 4 °C. Control = no treatment, Alg = 1.5% sodium alginate, Chit = 2% chitosan, Alg+Chit = 3% chitosan solution and 2% sodium alginate. Different letters in the same storage time indicate significant differences (P ≤ 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality parameters</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Days of storage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total soluble solids (°Brix)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chit</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg+Chit</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titratable acidity (meq L⁻¹)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>75.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>75.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chit</td>
<td>75.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg+Chit</td>
<td>75.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chit</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg+Chit</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browning Potential (Abs 440 nm)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.29 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>0.77 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chit</td>
<td>1.49 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg+Chit</td>
<td>1.09 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative PPO activity (cfu g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.0068 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>0.0047 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chit</td>
<td>0.0155 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg+Chit</td>
<td>0.0026 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Changes in L* values of fresh-cut nectarine dipped in different coating solutions and stored for 9 days at 4 °C. Values are the means ± SD of 15 determinations. Control = no treatment, alg = 1.5% sodium alginate, chit = 2% chitosan, alg + chit = 3% chitosan solution and 2% sodium alginate.

Figure 5. Changes in h* values of fresh-cut nectarine dipped in different coating solutions and stored for 9 days at 4 °C. Values are the means ± SD of 15 determinations. Control = no treatment, alg = 1.5% sodium alginate, chit = 2% chitosan, alg + chit = 3% chitosan solution and 2% sodium alginate.

The results of changes in the color of nectarine slices in terms of lightness and h values during storage (figures 4, 5) showed a general decreasing trend. The chitosan coating slowed the decrease in luminosity of samples, which became significant with chitosan and alginate + chitosan coatings, that showed the lowest L* values. Changes in the surface reflection properties when the fruit is coated can provoke this luminosity decrease. It is probable that the alginate film turned opaque during film formation at the final stage of drying, resulting in lower L* values. H* values remained quite stable during postharvest storage, in particular in alginate- and chitosan-coated slices.

The firmness of sample slices before coating treatments (day 0) was 8.15 N, which increased in the chitosan coating, increased and then decreased in alginate, decreased in control samples and remained quite stable in alginate + chitosan-coated nectarines during storage (figure 6). The increased in texture values is in accordance with previous work on
Table II. Effect of different coatings on microbiological parameters (yeasts and molds) of fresh-cut nectarine after 9 days of cold storage. Control = no treatment, Alg = 1.5% sodium alginate, chit = 2% chitosan, Alg + chit = 3% chitosan solution and 2% sodium alginate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Yeasts (ufc g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Molds (ufc g(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alg</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chit</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alg+Chit</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

blueberries [20]. However, the difference among the edible coatings’ sample firmness after 9 days of storage was not substantial.

Browning potential decreased or remained quite stable over the 9 days of storage, and control and chitosan-treated slices had higher browning potential compared with the other samples. After 9 days of storage, the lowest browning potential was observed in alginate-coated nectarine slices (Table I).

The PPO activity of fresh-cut nectarines treated with edible coating solutions increased during storage (Table I). The increase in PPO activity might be due to the activation of soluble tyrosinase forms existing in a latent state, which can be activated during storage by several factors [33]. In this study we noted that the PPO activity was different depending on the type of treatment used; in particular, after 9 days of storage the highest PPO activity was observed in chitosan- and chitosan + alginate-coated samples. Alginate coating is more effective at controlling PPO activity during storage.

3.4 Microbiological analysis

Microbial safety is one of the most important factors to be considered for the preservation of fruits during the postharvest period, in particular for fresh-cut fruit. The results on the microbiological quality of the different edible coating-treated nectarine slices at the end of the storage period (9 days) are presented in Table II. Slices treated with the chitosan edible coating minimized the growth of yeasts and molds to values < 10 CFU g\(^{-1}\) and 55 CFU g\(^{-1}\), as compared with the control and the other coatings. The chitosan coating on fresh-cut nectarine effectively inhibited the growth of microorganisms during the postharvest period, in accordance with Chieg et al. [15] in mango, Hernandez-Munoz et al. [34] in strawberry and Gonzalez-Aguilar et al. [35] in papaya.

4 Conclusion

The different coatings studied showed different effects on the physical, chemical and hygienic parameters of fresh-cut nectarine during storage. The alginate coating showed lower values of firmness and lightness during storage and lower values of total soluble solid content at the end of storage. The chitosan coating decreased the metabolism activity of fruit during storage and showed lower weight loss, and higher values of lightness, firmness and total soluble solid content compared with the other treatments. Moreover, the chitosan coating controlled the growth of microorganisms. The chitosan coating appears to be a promising preservation alternative and an effective method to improve the quality and shelf life of nectarines in marketing conditions.

Future research will focus on the effects of these edible coatings on the sensory quality of fresh-cut nectarine during storage, to select the best for commercial purposes.
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